

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/771,205	01/26/2001	Balaji Holur	062891.0511	7184	
7590 02/18/2005			EXAMINER		
Tara D. Knapp			MOORE, IAN N		
Baker Botts LLP 2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 75201			2661		
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/771,205	HOLUR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
lan N Moore	2661	

	lan N Moore	2661						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of a ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	41.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	g the Notice of					
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	-	jected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		lient Amondmoni	(DTOL 224)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omphant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).					
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) worlded below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-55</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a Nonday the affidation of sufficient reasons why the affidation of the control of the con	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper							
		BS A						
		BOB PHU PRIMARY E						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claims 1-55, applicant is arguing that Rinne does not discloses "communicate a new label to the serving node". As recited, in the final office action, Rinne discloses a new label stack is the IP header label stack with a "DSCP" lable since FIG. 3, step 32-33 clearly described adding IP header with DSCP label for transmission towards SGSN node; see col. 6, lines 30-34, 44-52 and col. 5, lines 10-20. Moreover, Mikkonen also discloses transmitting a label stack (see FIG. 4B, RFID in a radio packet; see col.9, line 30-31,45-48) to servicing node (see FIG. 4B, AP node). Regarding no motivation arguments, examiner clearly recited the motivations in final office action pages 5, 8, 11-14.